

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/538,880	WANG, MEIFEN
	Examiner D. I. Lee	Art Unit 2876

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) D. I. Lee. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Kenneth Lao. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Diane I. Lee
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In reviewing the application, the examiner discovered the error in the previous Office Action mailed on 12/8/03. In that Office Action, the examiner incorrectly include claim 10 in the allowed claims. The examiner contacted Mr. Lao and informed the error and explained that previously indicated allowed subject matter is from claims 5-6 and not from claim 10. Accordingly, Mr. Lao authorized the Examiner's Amendment to cancel claim 10..